UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/768,717	01/30/2004	Erik J. van der Burg	3803	5133
	7590 11/17/200 YSVER P.L.L.C.	EXAMINER		
2900 THOMAS	S AVENUE SOUTH	BACHMAN, LINDSEY MICHELE		
SUITE 100 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55416			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/768,717	VAN DER BURG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LINDSEY BACHMAN	3734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be downward and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	ION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition is in condition.	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matters,		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appli riority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11 September 2009 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11 September 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the §112 written description rejection, Applicant argues that the deployment line is inherently capable of not supplying compressive force to the implantable device and therefore this claim limitation is not new matter. This argument is not persuasive. The deployment line of Applicant's invention is capable of applying a compressive force to the implantable device because the deployment line is tied at the proximal end of the device (at 242) and looped through the distal end of the device. In this configuration, a compressive force is applied to the device between the knot at 242 and the distal looped portion when the deployment line is proximally retracted. This is how Applicant discloses that the device works in paragraph [0110] of Applicant's

published application. If proximal tension were applied to the deployment line and this did not result in compression of implantable device, the device would be merely be pulled backwards, not expanded as claimed.

Applicant's amendment to Claim 1 with the additional limitation that the deployment line is unable supply rotational force to the device is also considered new matter. The deployment line in Applicant's invention is capable of rotating the implantable device because of it's connection to the implantable device. The device may not directly rotate in response to the rotation of the deployment line, however, the device would rotate after multiple rotations.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Line 16 contains the word "crossection". This is a typographical error. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter

which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 1 and 11 were amended to recite that the deployment line is unable to supply a compressive force to the implantable device. This limitation is not disclosed in the specification. Further, according to Figure 33, which was cited in Applicant's arguments, and corresponding paragraph [0110], it appears that when the deployment line is proximally retracted, the implantable device undergoes compression initiated at distal end 190 by the deployment line in order to aid in expanding the implantable device. This contradicts Applicant's claim amendment.

Further, the Claim 1 recites that the deployment line supplies tension to the implantable device. The use of tension is not disclosed in the specification. Applicant does, however, refer to proximal retraction on the deployment line in paragraph [0110].

Further, Claim 1 recites that the deployment line is unable to provide rotational force to the implantable device. The deployment line in Applicant's invention is capable of applying rotational force on the implantable device because of it's connection to the implantable device. The device may not directly rotate in response to the rotation of the deployment line; however, the device rotational force is still applied.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/768,717

Art Unit: 3734

Page 5

Claims 1, 2, 4-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huebsch et al. (US Patent 5,853,422) in view of Kerr (US Patent 5,941,896)

Claim 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8: Huebsch'422 discloses a device that contains an implantable device (200, Figure 14) being movable between a reduced cross-section (Figure 14) and an enlarged cross-section (Figure 16 and 17). The implantable device has a proximal end (214) and a distal end (216) and an apex (225, 225). Huebsch'422 teaches a deployment catheter (40) and a deployment line (230; Figure 15) that is adapted to extend from the proximal to the distal end of the implantable device (see Figure 16). The proximal movement of the deployment line aids expanding the implantable device. The deployment line is removable (via the twist lock mechanism shown in Figure 7).

Huebsch'422 does not teach the use of a sheath.

Kerr'896 teaches that it is old and well known to use an introducer catheter (28) with a delivery catheter (38) when placing a device within a vessel in the body. All the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Claim 9, 10: Huebsch'422 teaches the use of tissue attachment elements (270) for the purpose of aiding in attaching the device to tissue (Figure 21, 22; column 7, lines 19-25).

Claim 11, 13, 14, 15, 18, 19, 20: Huebsch'422 teaches an implantable device (200; Figure 14) having a proximal end (214) and a distal end (216) and a plurality of supports (222) and a barrier (column 7, lines 43-56) movable between a reduced cross section (Figure 14) and an enlarged cross-section (Figures 16 and 17). Hubsch'422 also discloses a deployment catheter (40) and a deployment line (230; Figure 15) that is releasably attached to the implantable device ((via the twist lock mechanism shown in Figure 7) in order to move the implantable device between its collapsed and expanded positions. Implantable device (200) expands via proximal movement of the deployment line.

Huebsch'422 does not teach the use of an introducer catheter.

Kerr'896 teaches that it is old and well known to use an introducer catheter (28) with a delivery catheter (38) when placing a device within a vessel in the body. All the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Claim 12: Huebsch'422 teaches a proximal hub (214).

Claim 16, 17: Huebsch'422 teaches the use of tissue attachment elements (270) for the purpose of aiding in attaching the device to tissue (Figure 21, 22; column 7, lines 19-25).

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huebsch'422 in view of Kerr'896, as applied to Claim 1, in view of Kotula et al (US Patent 5,846,261).

Huebsch'422 does not teach that the implantable device self expands.

Kotula'261 teaches that it is old and well known to use a shape memory alloy in an atrial septal defect closure device in order to cause the closure device to self expand (column 2, lines 50-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Huebsch'422 so that it too has this advantage.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LINDSEY BACHMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-6208. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 7:30 am to 5 pm, and alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Todd Manahan can be reached on 571-272-4713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/768,717 Page 8

Art Unit: 3734

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/L. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 3734

/Todd E Manahan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3734